

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant responds herein to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated September 11, 2003 (a copy of which is enclosed.) The only objection was to the designation of claims 10 and 14 as Previously Amended. They are now described as Previously Presented. The remainder of the Amendment replicates the prior amendment marked non compliant.

The drawings were objected to for not showing the first and second movable carriages which were claimed only in claim 8. Claim 8 has been amended to eliminate reference to the first and second carriages, whereby the requirement for a drawing correction is rendered moot.

The disclosure was amended to correct the reference number at page 5.

The allowance of claims 1-7 is acknowledged.

Claim 13 was objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim. Claim 13 has been canceled, but its limitation has been added to claim 8. Claim 13 had the same elements as claim 1, but was dependent upon claim 8. Claim 8 has been amended to be made dependent upon claim 1 and in this way, the elements of claims 12 and 13, corresponding to claim 1, have been combined into claim 8. It is submitted that claim 8, as amended, even without the elements of intervening claims 9-11, is allowable.

Claim 12 has been canceled as it is included in claim 8.

Claims 8 and 11 were rejected under 25 U.S.C. § 102. With the amendment of claim 8, it is submitted that its rejection is moot. Claim 11 is dependent upon claim 8 and should be allowable as well.

Claims 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103. Claim 12 has been canceled. As claim 9 is dependent upon claim 8, as amended, it is submitted that its rejection is moot.


Claims 10 and 14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103. As they are dependent upon claim 8, it is admitted that their rejection is moot.

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that remaining claims 1-7 as well as 8-11 and 14 are allowable and then allowance is requested.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on October 7, 2003:

Robert C. Faber

Name of applicant, assignee or
Registered Representative




Signature

October 7, 2003

Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,



Robert C. Faber

Registration No.: 24,322

OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP

1180 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036-8403

Telephone: (212) 382-0700

RCF:mjb:bam